



STETSON LAW

2021
Fourteenth Annual
National Pretrial Competition

Competition Rules
(Updated 9/12/21)

This Competition will be hosted Online
on September 30 – October 3, 2021

The 2021 Stetson Law National Pretrial Competition Problem was drafted by Stetson Alumni, Brandon K. Breslow, Esq., (J.D. '16) and Lara E. Breslow, Esq., (J.D. '16), in association with the Center for Excellence in Advocacy at Stetson University College of Law, Advocacy Center Director, Elizabeth Boals. The 2021 Competition Problem is the property of the Stetson College of Law Center for Excellence in Advocacy.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. COMPETITION COMMITTEE AND FORUM.....	1
A. Competition Committee Defined.....	1
B. Powers of the Competition Committee.....	1
C. Questions/Clarifications about Competition Problem, Rules, and Format.....	1
D. Contact with the Competition Committee.....	1
II. TEAM COMPOSITION	1
A. Team Defined	1
B. Qualifications of Team Members	1
C. Substituting Team Members	2
D. Coaches and Advisors.....	2
III. MEMORANDA OF LAW.....	2
A. Sides.....	2
B. Venue	2
C. Format.....	2
D. Team Identification and Affidavit.....	3
E. Number and Service on Competition Committee	3
F. Service on Other Teams.....	3
G. Grading	4
H. Legal Issues and Facts Argued in Memoranda.....	4
IV. PRETRIAL ORAL ARGUMENT PROCEDURES.....	4
A. Time and Place	4
B. Time per Team.....	5
C. Evidentiary Presentation and Argument	5
D. Motion Hearing.....	6
E. Online Specific Competition Instructions	7
F. Anonymity and Prohibited Communication.....	7
G. Team Information on Score Sheets.....	8
H. Judges and Judging Criteria.....	8
I. Critiques.....	8
J. Announcements	8
K. Stetson’s Participation	9
V. SEQUENCE OF ORAL ROUNDS/ADVANCEMENT	9
A. Scoring Breakdown	9
B. Preliminary Rounds	9
C. Quarterfinal Round	10
D. Semifinal Round	10
E. Final Round.....	10
VI. MEMO SCORES, ORAL SCORES, AND TALLY REVIEW PERIOD	10
A. Memo and Oral Presentation Scores.....	10
VII. AWARDS	10

VIII. OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE.....	10
A. Assistance on Memos	11
B. Assistance on Oral Argument	11
C. Collaboration with Other Teams.....	11
IX. CHALLENGES, DISPUTE RESOLUTION, AND SANCTIONS/PENALTIES	11
A. Memos and Pre-Oral Argument Challenges.....	11
B. Oral Arguments and Other Competition Challenges	11
C. Conflicts of Interest.....	11
D. Penalties.....	12
X. OWNERSHIP AND USE OF COMPETITION PROBLEM	12
XI. COSTS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE COMPETITION.....	12
 APPENDICES.....	 13

I. COMPETITION COMMITTEE AND FORUM

A. Competition Committee Defined

1. The Competition Committee is comprised of the Competition Directors Professor Elizabeth Boals and Advocacy Center Associate Director Stacey Turmel. Other members may be appointed to serve on the Competition Committee. Members of the Competition Committee will not be actively affiliated with any team registered to participate in the Competition.

B. Powers of the Competition Committee

1. The Competition Committee has sole discretion to enforce all Competition rules.
2. The Competition Committee has sole discretion to interpret the Competition rules.
3. The Competition Committee has sole discretion to answer questions about the documents that constitute the problem.
4. The Competition Committee has sole power to resolve any dispute that may arise during the Competition.
5. The Competition Committee has power to change or supplement the Competition rules, should any changes or supplements become necessary. Changes and supplements will be communicated, in writing, to participating teams as quickly as possible. Oral communication will be allowed only when time is of the essence and written communication is not feasible.

C. Questions/Clarifications about Competition Problem, Rules, and Format

1. Any questions or clarifications about the Competition problem or rules must be sent to the Competition Committee via email, no later than July 30, 2021 by 5:00 p.m. EDT. Questions and clarifications will be addressed, all at once, after the July 30th deadline.¹
2. To the extent a question or inquiry affects other teams, a copy of each written question and answer will be circulated to all participating teams.

D. Contact with the Competition Committee

By email: nptc@law.stetson.edu

By mail: National Pretrial Competition 2021

Attn: Professor Elizabeth Boals

Stetson University College of Law

1401 61st Street South

Gulfport, Florida 33707

II. TEAM COMPOSITION

A. Team Defined

1. A team consists of four persons, each of whom satisfies the criteria listed in section (B) below. Teams may have one additional student on their roster to serve solely and exclusively as a team technician responsible for technology needs, such as display of exhibits. This team member may not play the role of advocate or witness during the competition. Teams may also assign those responsibilities to one or more of the other rostered members.
2. No person may be a member of more than one team.
3. During the Evidentiary Presentation, two team members will serve as advocates and present the evidence, and two team members will serve as witnesses.
4. The same two advocates presenting the evidence will also argue during the Motion Hearing.
5. All team members may participate in any other aspect of the Competition, including practice rounds, research, and drafting.

B. Qualifications of Team Member

¹ All questions, inquiries, or clarifications about the Competition problem must be received by the Competition Committee by the deadlines described above and not at the Coaches' Meeting.

- 1.No team member may hold a law degree from a United States law school.
- 2.Team members must be enrolled in a full-time or part-time Juris Doctor or equivalent program at the law school they represent.
- 3.Members may not hold or be enrolled in any graduate legal program, such as an LL.M., S.J.D., or equivalent program.

C. Substituting Team Members

- 1.Team members may be substituted before the memo deadline of August 30, 2021 and before that team actually submits its memos. To make a substitution, the team must email the Competition Committee at nptc@law.stetson.edu with the substitution before that team's memos are due and submitted.
- 2.If a substitution is needed after the memo deadline of August 30, 2021, the team must email the Competition Committee at nptc@law.stetson.edu and provide good cause, as determined by the Competition Committee. Examples of good cause include such circumstances as: a medical emergency of the team member, family member, or dependent; death in the immediate or extended family; or a legal obligation such as a subpoena or jury summons for which failure to appear could result in civil or criminal penalty.

D. Coaches and Advisors

- 1.Each team may have one or more coaches/advisors. If the team does not have a coach/advisor, the team must designate a representative to attend the Coaches' Meeting on September 30, 2021.
- 2.Coaches/advisors may observe the round via Zoom but cannot communicate with team members until after that round is complete. A round is complete after the case is closed and the competitors are released from the online courtroom so that the judges can complete their ballots. Therefore, NO communication is allowed during breaks or recesses taking during the round.

III. **MEMORANDA OF LAW**

A. Sides

- 1.Each team must submit two memoranda of law—one for the Movant and one for the Non-Movant.
- 2.During the Motion Hearing, teams will argue the issues addressed in the memoranda of law.

B. Venue

- 1.The problem will be set in the fictitious United States District Court Stetson. Stetson is a fictitious state, and its district court sits in the fictitious United States Court of Appeals for the Fourteenth Circuit. To the extent the Competition Problem or Rules are silent, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Federal Rules of Evidence, and the local rules of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida will apply.

C. Format

- 1.Memos must comply with the following format:
 - a. Not exceed 4800 words.
 - b. Be typed using Times New Roman 13- or 14-point font.
 - c. Have 1-inch margins all the way around the page.
 - d. Be double spaced with no extra spacing around headings or between paragraphs. Properly singled-spaced written material, such as headings that exceed one line, block quotations, or footnotes are permitted. However, block quotations and footnotes should be used sparingly, if at all.

2. Include page numbers at the bottom center of each page. The introductory sections (4.b.-c. below) shall use smaller case Roman Numerals—i, ii, etc. and the remaining sections (3.a-e. and 4.d.) shall use Arabic Numbers—1, 2, etc.
3. Each memo must include the following sections, which do count toward word count:
 - a. introduction with request for relief,
 - b. statement of facts,
 - c. argument with point headings,
 - d. citations (and/or footnotes), and
 - e. conclusion with prayer for relief.
4. Each memo must also include the following sections, which do not count toward word count:
 - a. front cover page,
 - b. table of contents,
 - c. table of authorities, and
 - d. signature block.
5. Citations:

All citations—to both law and facts—must conform to the most current version of the ALWD Guide to Legal Citations or The Bluebook: A Uniform System of Citation.

D. Team Identification and Affidavit

1. To facilitate anonymous grading, names of the team members and the team's school may appear only on the affidavit that must be submitted at the same time as the original memos (see Appendix 1 for the affidavit).
2. Each team member must sign a copy of the required affidavit.
3. By signing the affidavit and submitting the memos to the Competition Committee, each team member certifies the memos have been prepared in accordance with the Competition rules, and the memos represent the work product solely of such team's members.
4. Each team will be assigned an identification number after all invitations have been accepted. That number is to appear in the uppermost right-hand corner of both front covers of the memos. That random number is the only way teams should identify themselves in the memos.

E. Number and Service on Competition Committee

1. Each team must submit one Word document of each of the team's memos directly to the Competition Committee as an email attachment to nptc@law.stetson.edu.
2. Please name the document XXXXMov.doc or .docx or XXXXNon-Mov.doc or .docx (where XXXX is your team's random number, "Mov" is for the Movant's memo, and "Non-Mov" is for the Non-Movant's memo).
3. In addition, each team must submit an affidavit as a Word or PDF-file as a separate attachment from the team's memos, directly to the Competition Committee by the deadline (see the contact information below).
4. The memos and affidavit must be received by the Competition Committee by 5:00 p.m. EDT, on August 30, 2021 (and teams are encouraged to submit the memos and affidavit as one email with three attachments). The Competition Committee will post each memo on the Stetson Law National Pretrial Competition website.

F. Service on Other Teams

1. Teams should not send copies of their memos to any other team, as the Competition Committee will post each memo on the Stetson Law National Pretrial Competition website.
2. No Changes After Submission

Once memos have been submitted to the Competition Committee, no revisions, supplements, or additions will be allowed. In addition, no written material outside the memo will be accepted.

G. Grading

1. Each memo will be scored by a panel of judges knowledgeable in the area of pretrial practice.
2. Each team's scores for both memos will be averaged to create that team's memo score to be used during the Competition. This memo score counts as one-third of the team's score in each preliminary round. The memo score will not be used to determine Quarterfinal Round, Semifinal Round or Final Round winners.
3. Memos will be graded for both content and style using the following criteria:²
 - a. Introductory Statement (2.5 points);
 - b. Statement of Facts (10 points);
 - c. Argument (55 points);
 - d. Conclusion (2.5 points);
 - e. Writing Style (25 points);
 - f. Citations (5 points);
 - g. Technical compliance (see deductions below in 5.b.); and
 - h. Timeliness (see deductions below in 5.a. and 5.c.).
4. Deductions will be taken for memos and/or affidavits that do not arrive on-time and/or do not comply with technical requirements.
 - a. Deductions for Late Memo Submissions:
 - i. Up to 15 minutes late: .5 deduction
 - ii. 15:01-60 minutes late: 1-point deduction
 - iii. Every hour, or portion of hour thereafter up to 12 hours: 2-point deduction
 - iv. After 12 hours: the memo will receive a zero
 - b. Deductions for Late Affidavit Submissions:
 - i. Up to 12 hours late: .5 deduction
 - ii. After 12 hours: 1-point deduction
 - c. Deductions for Technical Noncompliance in Memos and Affidavits:
Deductions of .5-1 point will be made for everything from not following the rules (font, margins, etc.), to leaving Track Changes on or the comment balloons in, to not including the right sections, etc.

H. Legal Issues and Facts Argued in Memoranda

The memoranda of law must address all issues to be addressed during the Motion Hearing. To establish the procedural and substantive facts for the memoranda, teams may cite to any docket entry provided in the Competition Problem. However, the admissibility of the testimony offered from any witnesses' depositions, affidavits, or other docket entries will be determined during the Evidentiary Presentation.

IV. **PRETRIAL ORAL ARGUMENT PROCEDURES**

A. Time and Place

1. All oral presentations will be held via Zoom on September 30th – October 3rd, 2021.
2. Pairings for all Preliminary Rounds and Courtroom assignments will be provided during the Coaches' Meeting on September 30th. All Preliminary Round pairings will be made randomly. The Third Preliminary Round is not power-matched based on the teams' win-loss record.

² See Appendix 2 for the 2021 memo evaluation sheet.

3. One preliminary round will be held on September 30th and two preliminary rounds will be held on October 1st.
4. The Quarterfinal and Semifinal Round will be held on October 2nd.
5. The Final Round will be held on October 3rd.

B. Time per Team

1. **Each round will be limited to 150 minutes, with each team being allotted 75 minutes. Of the team's 75 minutes, 55 minutes will be for the Evidentiary Presentation and Argument and 20 minutes for the Motion Hearing.**
2. During the Motion Hearing, the team representing the Movant may reserve 1 or 2 minutes of its 20 minutes for rebuttal.
3. Nothing in this rule requires a 50/50 split of time between co-counsel in either the Evidentiary Presentation or Motion Hearing.
4. Any unused time will be waived.

C. Evidentiary Presentation and Argument

1. Tasks

- a. During the evidentiary hearing, teams must conduct the following tasks:
 - i. Conduct the direct examination of two witnesses (with redirect permitted only at the judge's discretion);
 - ii. Conduct the cross examination of two witnesses (with re-cross permitted only at the judge's discretion for impeachment).
 - iii. Argument on Government's Motion for Detention.
- b. As there are four witness examinations to complete during the evidentiary presentation—two direct examinations and two cross examinations—each attorney must conduct two of the four tasks. For example, Attorney A could conduct both directs and Attorney B could conduct both crosses. Or, Attorney A could conduct one direct and one cross, with Attorney B conducting the other direct and the other cross. **Either Attorney may argue their side's position on the Motion for Detention. Only one Attorney per side will present the argument of their side on the Motion for Detention.**

2. Order of Evidentiary Presentation and Argument

- a. Movant's witness #1—direct by Movant and cross by Non-Movant.
- b. Movant's witness #2—direct by Movant and cross by Non-Movant.
- c. Non-Movant's witness #1—direct by Non-Movant and cross by Movant.
- d. Non-Movant's witness #2—direct by Non-Movant and cross by Movant.
- e. Movant's Argument on Motion for Detention.
- f. Non-Movant's Argument on Motion for Detention.
- g. Movant's Rebuttal (if any) on Motion for Detention.

3. Time

The bailiffs will keep track of the time during the Evidentiary Presentation and objections will stop the clock. Judges have discretion to add some additional time for technical glitches.

4. Direct Examination

The goal and challenge of any competition is to stay within the facts provided in the competition problem. Every team should strive to stay squarely within the four corners of the problem and not push the envelope beyond the problem's legitimate purpose. Teams that invent facts or go outside the record will be subject to challenges and may suffer repercussions by the Competition Committee. To further that, the following limitations are imposed:

- a. No advocate may elicit from a witness and no witness may draw any inference during a direct or re-direct examination. A witness must confine his/her answers

to the facts and opinions stated in the problem. Inferences may only be drawn during the Motion Hearing.

- b. On direct examination, advocates must advise their witnesses if they are asked to provide information not specifically provided in the file, to respond by stating, “No, I didn’t do (hear, see) that,” “I don’t know that,” or “I don’t have that information.”
- c. An advocate is obligated to correct the record by withdrawing testimony that presented facts outside the file. When an advocate’s witness testifies to a fact outside of the file, the advocate shall promptly ask the witness to correct the witness’s testimony by withdrawing it and stating he/she has no first-hand knowledge of it. If the witness refuses or is unable to do so, the advocate shall move to strike the answer as being outside the facts and ask the court to disregard the statement.

5. Cross Examination

- a. If the cross examination calls for a material answer, inferences are not permitted. **Example of a material answer:** When Doctor X says in her deposition she ran tests A, B, and C to diagnose the plaintiff’s illness, if the doctor was asked on cross, “Doctor, you cannot tell the jury you ran test D on the plaintiff, can you? The **appropriate** response for the doctor is “No, I cannot.”

An **inappropriate** response for the doctor is “I did because I should have,” or “I’ve never testified to that before and I’m not comfortable answering your question now.” If, on the other hand, the cross-examination question calls for a non-material answer, the witness should be able to respond with an inference, or say, “I’ve never testified to that before and I’m not comfortable answering your question now.”

Example of non-material answer: When a deposition indicates a witness is married, has children, or graduated with a college degree, that witness may provide his/her spouse’s name, his/her children’s names, or the name of the college attended, even when those names are not provided in the deposition. Those matters are generally considered non-material.

If a witness does provide such names on direct examination, an advocate should not try to impeach the witness for not providing those names in the deposition.

6. Exhibits

- a. Team members are limited to the exhibits provided in the Competition Problem or exhibits created by team members in front of the judge.
- b. An electronic version of the problem with all case materials will be provided to presiding judge and evaluating judges. Advocates should authenticate exhibits, impeach, and refresh recollection by reference to the electronic version of the problem. Competitors playing the role of witness may not pretend as if they do not have a copy of the exhibits.
- c. Teams may create demonstrative aids during trial (e.g., timelines on a flipchart). Teams may also use demonstrative aids that were created before the evidentiary hearing (e.g., PowerPoint charts, timelines, case exhibits with callouts, highlights or other emphasis); however, the admissibility of these demonstratives is within the sole discretion of the presiding judge. Teams should share exhibits with the opposing team during the 30-minute check-in before the round to streamline this process.

D. Motion Hearing

1. At the conclusion of the Evidentiary Presentation and Argument, the Motion Hearing will commence after a short recess.
2. Each team has 20 minutes to argue its position during the Motion Hearing.
3. Each advocate is responsible for one issue during the Motion Hearing.
4. A bailiff will keep time for each advocate. A bailiff will let each advocate know how much time is left by providing the following timecards: 5, 3, 1, and stop.
5. The Chief Judge will ask questions during the Motion Hearing.
6. The order of the Motion Hearing will be as follows:
 - a. Movant's argument on Issue I
 - b. Non-Movant's argument on Issue I
 - c. Movant's rebuttal (if any)
 - d. Movant's argument of Issue II
 - e. Non-Movant's argument on Issue II
 - f. Movant's rebuttal (if any)There will be no sur-rebuttal for either team.

E. Online Specific Competition Instructions

1. Teams may not use teleprompters or other script-scrolling apps/devices.
2. Teams may set up their physical spaces however they like except they may not have virtual backgrounds or (b) anything on screen that identifies their school, state, or region. This means that students may not project any artificial scene on the screen behind them such as a courtroom or counsel table.
3. Witnesses must sit while testifying (unless given permission to stand by the presiding judge). Advocates may choose whether, and when, to sit or stand.
4. Students may compete in the same room with each other. Coaches may not be present in the same room with any student competitor or witness during the round.
5. While a witness is testifying, no one may communicate with them privately (e.g., no coaching your witness by text message). Otherwise, during the evidentiary hearing advocates may communicate with party witnesses at any time and with nonparty witnesses only during the recess.
6. During the evidentiary hearing, team members may communicate only with each other, judges, the opposing team, and tournament officials. They may not communicate with coaches or anyone else.
7. Advocates must mute their audio except when performing. An exception to this rule is made for advocates during the examination of witnesses. The two advocates that are assigned to examine the witness may be unmuted. This facilitates timely objections.
8. During appearances, all advocates must have their video on. During the evidentiary hearing, only the two attorneys examining that witness should have their video on (e.g., during the direct examination of movant's witness #1, both the movant's attorney directing the witness and non-movant's attorney who will later cross the witness should have their videos on). During the Motion Hearing, only the two attorneys making the particular argument at issue should have their video on.
9. Witnesses should have their audio and video on only when testifying and shall not refer to any notes or materials from the problem unless instructed to by the advocates or presiding judge during the examination.

F. Anonymity and Prohibited Communication

1. All team members are prohibited from speaking with the judges before a round begins except to introduce themselves to the Court in the usual manner with their actual names and team number, but not their law-school affiliation.

2. Further, all team members, coaches, and advisors must refrain from identifying a team's school at any time and in any manner, including, but not limited to, wearing any identifying items, such as school clothing, patches, or pins, or carrying identifying material (such as a pen, padfolio, or notebook with a school logo).
3. Judges will schedule a break between the Evidentiary Presentation and the Motion Hearing. Coaches, advisors, and observers are not permitted to confer with team members during the round, including break(s).

G. Team Information on Score Sheets

1. To ensure correct team numbers, student names, and sides represented are included on the oral argument ballots, BOTH teams must enter their assigned courtroom 30 minutes BEFORE each round to ensure that the technology is working properly and that the score sheets for the judges accurately reflect their personal and team information.
2. Competitors: "Last Name – Party"
3. Coaches: "Coach Last Name – Team Number"

H. Judges and Judging Criteria

1. Barring unforeseen circumstances, each round will be judged by at least three persons; however, if not, three judges' scores will be created:
 - a. If there are less than three judges, the other judges in that room will be averaged to create a third judge's score. With a .5 being rounded up to the next higher whole number i.e., a created-judge's score of 182.5 would be rounded up to 183.
 - b. If there are four judges, the Presiding Judge will not be a scoring judge.
 - c. If there are five judges, the Presiding Judge will not be a scoring judge and the Competitions Committee, without looking at the completed score sheets, will randomly discard one of the judge's score sheets. If it is the Quarterfinal, Semifinal or Final round, all five judges will be scoring judges.
2. Judges will be provided with a copy of the Competition Problem and bench memo.
3. Judges will NOT be provided with copies of the teams' memos or memo scores.
4. Each judge will receive a score sheet outlining the factors to be considered during the judging process; the score sheet will also identify what excellent, good, and average scores should be in each category.
5. During the Evidentiary Presentation, judges will score the direct and cross examinations.
6. During the Motion Hearing, judges will score the arguments on these factors:³
 - a. Knowledge of facts and law;
 - b. Organization and clarity of argument;
 - c. Ability to answer questions; and
 - d. Presentation Style.
7. For the Preliminary Rounds, each judge's scores for the Evidentiary Presentation and Motion Hearing will be added together to determine that judge's score for that team for the oral presentation. The scores of all the judges from the oral presentation will be averaged to determine the oral-presentation score for that team for that round (worth two-thirds of that team's score for the preliminary rounds).
8. For the Quarterfinal, Semifinal, and Final rounds, the memo scores are no longer factored in and the winning teams will be determined by the greater number of oral-argument judges' ballots won.
9. Coaches, advisors, non-participating team members, family members, or other team representatives may not communicate with the bench during oral argument.

I. Critiques

Each judge will be requested to provide a short oral critique, no longer than 3 minutes per judge, at the end of each round.

³ See Appendix 3 for the oral argument ballots.

J. Announcements

1. After three Preliminary Rounds are completed, the eight teams advancing to the Quarterfinal Round will be announced via Zoom and by email on October 1st.
2. After the Quarterfinal Round is completed, the four teams advancing to the Semifinal Round will be announced via Zoom on October 2nd.
3. After the Semifinal Round is completed, the two teams advancing to the Final Round will be announced during the Awards Ceremony via Zoom on October 2nd.
4. All Preliminary and Semifinal Round Awards—individual and team—will be presented during the Awards Ceremony on October 2nd. All teams are encouraged to attend the Awards Ceremony.
5. The Final Round Awards will be presented immediately following the conclusion of the Final Round.

K. Stetson's Participation

Stetson may not enter a team in the Competition except as a bye-team should a team drop from the Competition leaving an odd number of competing teams. A Stetson bye-team may not advance past the Preliminary Rounds and its members will not be eligible for any award.

Members of the bye-team will not write a memo; the memo score used for a bye-team will be the average of all memos submitted by teams in the Competition.

V. **SEQUENCE OF ORAL ROUNDS/ADVANCEMENT**

A. Scoring Breakdown

1. Except for the Quarterfinal, Semifinal and Final Rounds, scores for each round will be determined by counting the team's memo score as one-third and the evidentiary presentation and argument and motion hearing oral argument as two-thirds. Specifically, the scoring will be as follows:

- a. The memos will be scored out of 100 points. Each team's memos will be read by multiple judges and the scores averaged to create the memo score used in the competition.
- b. The oral presentation will be scored out of 200 points: 100 points for the Evidentiary Presentation and Argument, and 100 points for the Motion Hearing.

For the Preliminary Rounds, the judges' scores from the oral presentations will be averaged to create the team's oral presentation for that round.

c. Here is an example of how the scores for a round will be calculated:

- i. Team A's memo score (out of 100 points):
 - Team A's Movant's memo received the following scores: 90, 85, and 92.
 - Team A's Non-Movant's memo received the following scores: 80, 78, and 85.
 - Team A's memo score is: 85 (the average of the six scores).
- ii. Team A's oral presentation score (out of 200 points):
 - Team A's oral presentation scores for the Evidentiary Presentation and Argument and Motion Hearing were: 180, 195, and 174.
 - Team A's oral presentation score for the round would be: 183 (the average of the three judges' scores).
 - Team A's score for that round would be 268 (or the memo score of 85, plus the oral presentation score of 183).

2. In the Quarterfinal, Semifinal and Final Rounds, the winner will be determined solely on the oral presentation and the greater number of judges' ballots won.

B. Preliminary Rounds

1. Three Preliminary Rounds will be held.

2. Each team will argue in each Preliminary Round.
3. Pairings for the Preliminary Rounds will be randomly determined and will be announced during the Coaches' Meeting on September 30th.
4. During the Preliminary Rounds, teams will argue at least once for each side.

C. Quarterfinal Round

1. The top eight teams will advance to the Quarterfinal Round. The highest ranked team will compete against the lowest ranked team, and the second-highest ranked team will compete against the second lowest ranked team. The team ranked third will compete against the team ranked sixth and the fourth and fifth ranked teams will be paired. A coin toss will determine which side the teams will represent in the Quarterfinal Round unless the teams have already competed against each other. In that case, the teams will switch sides. If a coin toss is needed, the highest ranked team in each pairing will call the coin toss.
2. The winners of the Quarterfinal Round will be determined solely based on oral presentation in the Quarterfinal Round. The team in each match-up with the greater number of judges' ballots won will advance to the Semifinal Round.

D. Semifinal Round

1. The top four teams will advance to the Semifinal Round. The highest ranked team will compete against the lowest ranked team, and the second-highest ranked team will compete against the third-ranked team. A coin toss will determine which side the teams will represent in the Semifinal Round unless the teams have already competed against each other. In that case, the teams will switch sides. If a coin toss is needed, the highest ranked team in each pairing will call the coin toss.
2. The winners of the Semifinal Round will be determined solely based on oral presentation in the Semifinal Round. The team in each match-up with the greater number of judges' ballots won will advance to the Final Round.

E. Final Round

1. The winners of each Semifinal Round will advance to the Final Round.
2. If the winners of each Semifinal Round represented different parties in the Semifinal Round, the teams will flip sides for the Final Round.
3. If the winners of each Semifinal Round represented the same parties in the Semifinal Round, a coin toss will determine which side the teams will represent in the Final Round. The higher ranked team from the Preliminary Rounds will call the coin toss. The winner of the Final Round will be determined solely based on oral presentation in the Final Round. The judges will caucus to determine the winning team and the best oralist in the Final Round. The judges are not obligated to use score sheets during the Final Round.
4. The winner of the Final Round will be designated the winner of the 2021 National Pretrial Competition.

VI. **MEMO SCORES, ORAL SCORES, AND TALLY REVIEW PERIOD**

A. Memo and Oral Presentation Scores

Memo and Oral Presentation Scores will be made available to team coaches after the Third Preliminary Round. This will include all teams' memo scores and rankings, each team's oral presentation scores, and a master tally sheet with all scores for all teams and their rankings.

VII. **AWARDS**

A. The following awards will be presented:

1. BEST memo for both the Movant and Non-Movant
2. BEST advocate Preliminary Round 1
3. BEST advocate Preliminary Round 2
4. BEST advocate Preliminary Round 3

5. Four Quarterfinal Awards
6. Two Semifinalist Awards
7. Second Place
8. First Place
9. Best Overall Advocate

VIII. **OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE**

A. Assistance on Memos

1. A team may receive only the following assistance on the memo:

- a. Team members may discuss with their coach(es)/advisor(s) and with others affiliated with their school (so long as other rules contained herein are not violated) general principles of Federal Civil Procedure, Criminal Procedure, and Evidence. The coach(es)/advisor(s) may not provide which Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Criminal Procedure, or Evidence apply to this problem.
- b. Coach(es)/advisor(s) may not provide general guidance on the overall organization or assist with the actual writing of the memo. Only student members of the team may edit the memos and correct citation format.
- c. Basically, the team members alone are solely responsible for the organization, content, and proofreading/editing of their memos.

2. A team may not hold oral practice rounds before its memos are submitted to the Competition Committee.

B. Assistance on Oral Argument

Once a team's memos are submitted to the Competition Committee, that team may be assisted in the preparation of its oral argument, except as limited below.

C. Collaboration with Other Teams

Teams may moot with other teams before September 30, 2021. No member, coach, or person affiliated with any team still eligible to participate or participating in the Competition may attend any argument of another team or receive information from any person who has attended such argument. In addition, while a team is still active in the Competition, no team member, coach, or other person affiliated with the team may "scout" other active teams. The only exception is that all teams, coaches, and others affiliated with the teams, may attend the Final Round, regardless of whether their team is competing in the Final Round.

IX. **CHALLENGES, DISPUTE RESOLUTION, AND SANCTIONS/PENALTIES**

A. Memos and Pre-Oral Argument Challenges

1. Any memo or pre-oral argument challenge or complaint must be submitted via email to the Competition Committee no later than 5:00 p.m. EDT on September 27, 2021.
2. Should the allegedly offensive conduct occur between September 27th at 5:00 p.m. EDT and the start of the first Preliminary Round on September 30th, the challenge or complaint should be submitted within 24 hours of its discovery, via email.
3. The challenge or complaint should be directed to the Competition Committee and should specify in as much detail as possible the nature of the challenge or complaint. No other person should be copied on the challenge or complaint.
4. After reviewing the challenge or complaint, the Competition Committee will, if necessary, contact other affected teams and issue a ruling.
5. The ruling of the Competition Committee will be final and may not be appealed.

B. Oral Arguments and Other Competition Challenges

1. Challenges or complaints concerning any oral argument or conduct during the Competition must be reported to the Competition Committee within 15 minutes of the conclusion of the round in which the allegedly offensive conduct occurs; otherwise, the challenge or complaint is waived.

2.Challenges or complaints that occur during the Competition that do not relate to an oral argument should be reported to the Competition Committee as soon as possible, but in no event later than 15 minutes before the next-scheduled oral argument round; otherwise, the challenge or complaint is waived.

C. Conflicts of Interest

A judge's alleged conflict of interest should be reported to the Competition Committee before that round commences or as soon as it becomes known; otherwise, the conflict is deemed waived.

D. Penalties

1.All teams should conduct themselves ethically and professionally.

2.Penalties may range from warnings, to point deductions, to disqualification, depending upon the nature and severity of the offense. Multiple offenses by a single team will warrant more severe penalties.

X. **OWNERSHIP AND USE OF COMPETITION PROBLEM**

A. The NPTC Competition Problem is the property of Stetson University College of Law.

B. The Competition Problem may not be used by any participating school, for any reason, including intra-school competitions, without the express written consent of the Competition Committee.

XI. **COSTS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE COMPETITION**

All costs associated with the Competition should be borne by the schools or by individual team members. Stetson will not reimburse participants for costs associated with the Competition.

APPENDIX 1

I.AFFIDAVIT—2021 NPTC

We have read the 2021 National Pretrial Competition Rules. Our submitted memos were prepared in accordance with the Competition Rules, and we have not given or received any unauthorized assistance.

School: _____

Please print your names below

Team Members: (1) _____

(2) _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Coaches: _____

Signatures (1) _____
of team

members: (2) _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date: _____

Team Number: _____

Plaintiff/Prosecution
memo word count: _____

Defendant memo
word count: _____

APPENDIX 2
Memo Evaluation Sheet

Team #:

Circle: Movant or Respondent

Judge:

<u>CATEGORY</u>	<u>Total</u>
<p>INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT Introductory Statement is persuasive, accurate, and requests relief</p> <p>Maximum 2.5 points: Excellent = 2.5 Good = 2 Average = 1</p>	
<p>STATEMENT OF FACTS Facts are persuasive, accurate, and complete</p> <p>Maximum 10 points: Excellent = 8-10 Good = 4-7 Average = 1-3</p>	
<p>ARGUMENT SECTION Argument section is well-organized with clear, accurate, and persuasive headings; arguments are well supported and persuasive; analysis is logical and developed; and legal authority discussed accurately and persuasively</p> <p>Maximum: 55 points: Excellent = 50-55 Good = 40-49 Average = 35-39</p>	
<p>CONCLUSION Conclusion accurately summarizes major points and includes prayer for relief</p> <p>Maximum 2.5 points: Excellent = 2.5 Good = 2 Average = 1</p>	
<p>WRITING STYLE Appropriate sentence structure and style used; grammar, punctuation, and spelling correct; appropriate tone used; brief is readable; quotes used sparingly</p> <p>Maximum 25 points: Excellent = 22-25 Good = 19-21 Average = 15-18</p>	
<p>CITATIONS Citations to law and facts are accurate in placement, form, and content</p> <p>Maximum 5 points: Excellent = 4-5 Good = 2-3 Average = 1</p>	
<p>TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE⁴ Includes all required sections only and complies with all technical requirements</p>	
<p>TIMELINESS⁵ Memos and affidavit submitted timely</p>	
<p>Minimum Score: 60⁶ Maximum Score: 100 TOTAL:</p>	

⁴ Deductions of .5-1 point will be made for everything from not following the rules (font, margins, etc.), to leaving Track Changes on or the comment balloons in, to not including the right sections, etc.

⁵ Deductions for Late Memo Submissions: Up to 15 minutes late: .5 deduction; 15:01-60 minutes late: 1-point deduction; every hour, or portion of hour thereafter up to 12 hours: 2-point deduction; and after 12 hours: the memo will receive a zero. Deductions for Late Affidavit Submissions: Up to 12 hours late: .5 deduction and after 12 hours: 1-point deduction.

⁶ Memos more than 12 hours late will receive a zero.

APPENDIX 3
2021 National Pretrial Competition
Evidentiary Presentation and Argument Ballot

Judge's Name (please print): _____ Crtrm: _____

Movant's Team #: _____ **P1 P2 P3**

Non-Movant's Team #: _____ **Semis Finals**

MOVANT	ACTIVITY	NON-MOVANT
Atty:		Atty:
Atty:		Atty:
Wit #1:		Wit #1:
Wit #2:		Wit #2:
Excellent = 22-25 Good = 19-21 Average = 16-18		
[20]	Direct of Movant Witness #1 [20 points possible for Movant only]	
	Cross-Examination of Movant Witness #1 [20 points possible for Non-Movant only]	[20]
[20]	Direct of Movant Witness #2 [20 points possible for Movant only]	
	Cross-Examination of Movant Witness #2 [20 points possible for Non-Movant only]	[20]
	Direct of Non-Movant Witness #1 [20 points possible for Non-Movant only]	[20]
[20]	Cross-Exam of Non-Movant Witness #1 [20 points possible for Movant only]	
	Direct of Non-Movant Witness #2 [20 points possible for Non-Movant only]	[20]
[20]	Cross-Exam of Non-Movant Witness #2 [20 points possible for Movant only]	
[20]	Argument Supporting Motion for Detention [20 points possible for Movant only]	
	Argument Against Motion for Detention [20 points possible for Non-Movant only]	[20]
[100] Movant	TOTAL POINTS [Total of 100 points possible per team]	[100] Non-Movant

Rank all advocates (not witnesses) in this Round.

1. _____

3. _____

2. _____

4. _____

**2021 National Pretrial
Competition Motion
Hearing Ballot**

Judge's Name (please print): _____

Courtroom: _____

Movant's Team #: _____ P or D P1 P2 P3

Non-Movant's Team #: _____ P or D

Movant* Name:	Movant* Name:	CATEGORY	Non-Movant Name:	Non-Movant Name:
[20]	[20]	Knowledge of facts and law [20 pts] [Excel =18-20; Good= 14-17; Avg= 11-13]	[20]	[20]
[15]	[15]	Organization and clarity [15 pts] [Excel = 13-15; Good = 10-12; Avg = 7-9]	[15]	[15]
[10]	[10]	Ability to answer questions & transition back to argument [10 pts] [Excel = 9-10; Good = 7-8; Avg = 5-6]	[10]	[10]
[5]	[5]	Style and appearance [5 pts] [Excel = 4-5; Good = 3; Avg = 2]	[5]	[5]
[50]	[50]	TOTAL SCORE per student [max 50 pts]	[50]	[50]
Movant		TEAM TOTAL [max 100 points]	Non-Movant	

***Rebuttal should be factored into the scoring for the Movant's attorney who conducts the rebuttal.**

